Rheumatoid arthritis in 2003: where are we now with treatment?

M E Weinblatt

The treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has changed considerably over the course of the century. It is difficult to fathom that 100 years ago it was directed towards removing potential sources of infection, with dental extractions and tonsillectomies. Despite appreciable advances, many of the tenets that we currently hold for the management of RA were originally proposed over 70 years ago. Russell Cecil in his "The therapeutic dose of 20 mg a week. With this rapid dose escalation, the rheumatologist will know within three months whether a patient will respond to methotrexate as a monotherapy.

There has been interest over the last few years in the effects of DMARDs on radiographic progression. All of the newer drugs that have been studied over the past decade, such as leflunomide, infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab, and anakinra, all show a beneficial effect on radiographic progression, with a reduction in joint space narrowing and joint space erosion. Over a decade ago, reductions in joint erosions and joint space narrowing were also observed with methotrexate in multiple comparison studies including methotrexate versus azathioprine and methotrexate versus auranofin. In a limited number of patients, healing of erosions has also been observed with methotrexate treatment. In one study, after 28 months of methotrexate treatment, five of 14 patients showed improvement not only in the size of erosions but there was also evidence of erosion healing. Three of these five patients showed the most substantial clinical response in the study.

More recently there has been exciting information about the beneficial effects of methotrexate on the mortality associated with RA. Krause and colleagues reported an improvement in overall mortality with methotrexate treatment in their responder versus non-responder population. Choi and colleagues also reported an improvement in mortality, including cardiovascular events, in patients treated with methotrexate.

EARLY INTERVENTION

In 2003, there are many treatment approaches to the disease (table 1). There has been a change in the prescribing patterns of United States rheumatologists over the past decade as documented by two studies by Pincus and colleagues. In the first study of seven rheumatology practices, 532 patients with RA were recruited in 1984 and followed up over five years. In this population, only 15% of the patients received DMARD treatments within the first two years of disease onset. Over three quarters (77%) were receiving low dose prednisone. In 2002, Pincus and colleagues reported a cross sectional study of 232 patients with RA observed from 1998 to 2001. In this cohort, the first DMARD was initiated within 5.5 months of the first symptoms of RA. The DMARD of choice was methotrexate, being used in 81% of the patients. Background prednisone was still used in 87% of the patients. These studies show that, among these rheumatologists, the concept of early intervention was incorporated into their practice.

This concept of early intervention in RA, one that has been advocated for more than a decade, was validated in a Dutch study. An improvement in joint counts and function was noted in patients with early RA receiving DMARDs compared with anti-inflammatory drugs alone. Additional studies have confirmed that early institution of DMARD treatment has a beneficial effect on clinical outcome and functional status.

COMBINATION DMARDS

There has been great interest in the use of combination DMARD treatments. Almost all synthetic and biological drugs have been combined with methotrexate as treatment for RA. In a Finnish RA combination study, 195 patients with recent
onset RA (median duration six months) were randomised to receive either a combination of sulfasalazine, methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, and prednisolone or a single DMARD with or without steroids. In those patients that received combination therapy, 37% were in remission after 1 year compared with 18% on monotherapy. Further examination of this study showed that a delay in institution of treatment was the only significant predictor for remission in patients treated with the single DMARD regimen. The authors concluded that a delay in the institution of DMARDs of even a few months from the onset of symptoms decreased the ability of a single drug to induce remission in early RA. The same authors also observed that the incidence of cervical spine subluxations was less in patients who received combination therapy than in those who received monotherapy.

Not all studies, however, confirm that earlier aggressive combination therapy is substantially better than monotherapy. In a trial of 82 newly diagnosed patients with untreated RA of less than 12 months’ duration, patients were randomised to receive a combination of methotrexate, cyclosporin A, and intra-articular steroids, or sulfasalazine alone. After 48 weeks of treatment, the numbers of patients who met ACR20% improvement as well as remission were not significantly different between the two treatment groups.

The concept of induction therapy with biological response modifiers is also generating great interest. In a preliminary report presented at the 2002 ACR meetings, 20 patients were treated within 12 months of onset of symptoms with either methotrexate plus infliximab or methotrexate plus placebo. After 12 months, the infliximab infusions were stopped. An ACR50% response was observed in 77% of those receiving infliximab plus methotrexate compared with 40% of those receiving placebo plus methotrexate. Of note, no patient with an ACR50% response flared after infliximab treatment had been stopped. This study suggests that early intervention with induction therapy may lead to an impressive clinical effect and the ability to stop the biological agent after 12 months of treatment. Maintenance of effect with methotrexate alone has important pharmacoeconomic consequences. Further studies are needed to confirm these preliminary findings.

OUTCOME AND MORTALITY
A major question remains on the impact of early intervention with solo or combination DMARDs on outcome and mortality. Scott et al reported in 1987 that more than half of 112 patients with RA treated over 20 years were either dead or severely disabled by the second decade of disease. In this 20 year study, the authors noted an initial response in the first decade of treatment with traditional treatments including gold, chloroquine, and prednisolone; however, over the second decade, the treatments became less effective. In a more recent study, Gordon and colleagues reviewed the status of 289 patients followed prospectively for 10 years. Methotrexate was started only in two patients at time point 0 whereas by year 10, 36 of the remaining 126 patients were receiving methotrexate treatment. Seventy one patients died over 10 years. There was no significant change in joint tenderness or haemoglobin between year 0 and year 10. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate declined or stabilised over the decade. Despite the improvement in or plateauing of joint counts and erythrocyte sedimentation rate, there was a worsening of the health assessment questionnaire scores and radiographs over 10 years. Perhaps the increased disability and mortality was related to ineffective older DMARD use rather than earlier intervention with methotrexate and combination treatment. One would hope so!

The mortality associated with RA remains a concern, especially cardiovascular mortality. Gabriel and colleagues at the Mayo Clinic evaluated trends in mortality among patients with RA over four decades. They reported that the excess mortality associated with RA has not changed over four decades in the Mayo cohort. In a more favourable study from Sweden of 46 917 patients identified from 1964 to 1994, a decline in mortality was observed over 20 years. An excess of coronary artery disease was, however, still present especially in patients with early onset disease.

ANTICYTOKINE TREATMENT
The last few years have generated great excitement about the use of treatments that down regulate proinflammatory cytokines, including TNFα and interleukin 1. The greatest interest is in those agents that neutralise the activity of TNFα, including the monoclonal antibodies, infliximab and adalimumab, and the p75 TNF receptor, etanercept. All of these compounds have been approved for use in combination with methotrexate and two (etanercept and adalimumab) as monotherapy. What is remarkable is the consistency of response with these drugs despite different populations of patients, disease duration, and different investigators. Whether anti-TNF treatment should be used early in the course of disease as monotherapy or as combination therapy will depend on studies in progress.

All of the anti-TNF treatments improve all aspects of disease activity, including clinical status, functional status, and radiographic progression. Whether they will have a positive impact on mortality similar to that seen with methotrexate remains to be determined, but I predict that this will be the case.

SUMMARY OF THE STATUS OF TREATMENT FOR RA IN 2003
(1) Disability and mortality still occur with RA
(2) No drug used as monotherapy has yet been shown to be better than methotrexate
(3) There is earlier use of DMARD treatment
(4) Early DMARD use is associated with better outcome
(5) Corticosteroids continue to be used as bridge therapy
(6) Combination therapy is effective and should be considered as induction treatment
(7) Anti-TNF treatment is a significant advance
(8) Disease activity remains despite our advances
(9) Clinical studies are more difficult now because of our success; placebo controlled studies need to be re-examined and new designs are needed to further drug development

To conclude, in 2003 have we made significant advances in the treatment of RA? The answer is a resounding yes!
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