Six months open label trial of leflunomide in active ankylosing spondylitis
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Objective: To examine the potential therapeutic effects of leflunomide in patients with active AS in an open label study.

Patients and methods: Twenty patients with AS fulfilling the 1984 modified New York criteria with a Bath AS Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) ≥3 were given leflunomide for 6 months. Clinical outcome assessments included disease activity (BASDAI), function (BASFI), metrology (BASMI), patient’s and physician’s global assessment, peripheral joint assessment, quality of life (SF-36), global pain, and CRP. Primary end point was a reduction of disease activity as measured by the BASDAI of >25% at 6 months.

Results: A BASDAI 25% improvement was noted in 5/20 (25%) patients and a BASDAI 50% improvement in 4/20 (20%) patients. The absolute BASDAI did not change significantly over the 6 month follow up (4.9 at baseline v 4.3 at week 24, p >0.05). Similarly, no significant change was found for the BASFI, BASMI, patient’s and physician’s global assessment, SF-36 mental component, and CRP. For the 10 patients with peripheral arthritis, the mean number of inflamed joints was significantly reduced from 1.7 at baseline to 0.9 at week 12 (p = 0.034) and 0.2 at week 24 (p = 0.039).

Conclusion: In this open study of patients with active AS only those with peripheral arthritis improved significantly with leflunomide treatment. Axial symptoms did not improve.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
In this open study we examined 20 patients (for characteristics see table 1) who fulfilled the 1984 modified New York criteria for AS and who did not respond sufficiently to NSAID treatment (defined as the highest tolerated dose of an NSAID for at least 4 weeks). To be enrolled, patients had to have active disease defined as a Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) ≥3 on a numeric rating scale between 0 (no activity) and 10 (highest activity) as well as spinal pain ≥3 on a similar rating scale (question 2 of the BASDAI questionnaire). DMARDs as well as steroids >7.5 mg/day were not allowed and had to be stopped at least 1 month before the start of treatment. Steroids ≤7.5 mg had to remain stable for at least 1 month. Local ethics committee approval was obtained.

Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, any history of uncontrolled concomitant diseases, or clinical and laboratory examinations with abnormal and clinically relevant changes.

Treatment protocol
A starting dose of leflunomide 100 mg daily was given for 3 days followed by leflunomide 20 mg/day for 6 months, which has been proved to be effective and safe in the treatment of RA.

Clinical outcome assessments were performed every month and included the BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI), patient’s and physician’s global assessment (numeric rating scales between 0 and 10 each), and quality of life (physical and mental health) (Short Form-36 (SF-36)). The primary outcome measure was a BASDAI improvement of >25% after 6 months. Laboratory outcome assessments included the erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C reactive protein (CRP). NSAID treatment was continued, and reduced dosages were allowed but had to be recorded.

Safety assessment
At each visit blood pressure and heart rate were measured and patients were asked about side effects. Whole blood count, serum liver enzymes, and serum creatinine were investigated every other week.

Statistics
Statistics were performed as an intention to treat analysis as well as an analysis of the patients who completed the study. The non-parametric Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare change from baseline at 6 months with 0 months.

Abbreviations: AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BASMI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; CRP, C reactive protein; DMARD, disease modifying antirheumatic drug; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SF-36, Short Form-36; SSZ, sulfasalazine
compared changes between baseline and values after treatment. A value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Ten of 20 patients finished the study period of 6 months. Ten patients stopped treatment because of inefficacy, side effects, or loss of contact (table 2).

A BASDAI 25% improvement, the primary outcome measure, was noted in 7/20 (35%) patients after 3 months and in 5 (25%) of the initial 20 patients after 6 months of treatment. A BASDAI 50% improvement was seen in 5/20 (25%) patients after 3 months and in 4 (20%) of the initial 20 patients after 6 months.

There was no significant improvement of the BASDAI for the whole group of patients using an intention to treat analysis after 3 and after 6 months of treatment (mean 4.9 at baseline v 4.6 after 3 months, p = 0.424 and 4.3 after 6 months, p = 0.221) (fig 1A). Likewise, no significant change was found for the BASFI, BASMI, patient’s and physician’s global assessment, SF-36 mental component, and CRP.

Peripheral arthritis and psoriasis

In contrast with the general disease activity, peripheral arthritis improved significantly. The mean number of inflamed joints of the 10 patients with AS and with peripheral arthritis was significantly reduced to 0.9 at week 12 (p = 0.034) and to 0.2 joints at week 24 (p = 0.039) in comparison with 1.7 at baseline (fig 1B).

Again using an intention to treat analysis, there was a non-significant reduction of the BASDAI in the 10 patients with peripheral arthritis from 5.0 at baseline to 3.6 both at week 12 and week 24, whereas in the group without arthritis the BASDAI remained unchanged from 4.9 at baseline to 5.1 at week 12 and to 4.5 at week 24 (fig 1A).

The four patients who had a 50% improvement after 24 weeks all had either psoriasis or peripheral arthritis, or both, before the start of the study.

To date treatment is still continuing in the five patients with an improvement in the BASDAI of at least 25% after 24 weeks and remains effective for 22–24 months after the study end.

Drop outs

Side effects were common. Six patients stopped treatment because of side effects, five because of inefficacy and three of them because of both inefficacy and side effects (table 2). Of the 10 patients with peripheral arthritis, four dropped out between week 8 and 16 because of side effects in three patients or inefficacy in one patient.

Table 1 Patients’ characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>14/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (range)</td>
<td>42 (19–65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years), mean (range)</td>
<td>14 (1–38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASDAI, mean (range)</td>
<td>5.0 (3.2–7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-B27 positive/negative</td>
<td>17/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP &gt;10 mg/l</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral joint involvement</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of uveitis</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthesitis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis and peripheral arthritis</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBP</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal pain (BASDAI question 2 &gt;4)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of patients is shown unless indicated otherwise.

BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; CRP, C reactive protein; IBP, inflammatory bowel disease.

DISCUSSION

This open label study with 20 patients with NSAID resistant AS indicates that leflunomide is not effective for spinal symptoms but might be effective for peripheral arthritis.

Ten (50%) of the 20 patients did not finish the study because of either inefficacy, side effects, or non-compliance (table 2). Leflunomide was effective in only 5 (25%) patients, as judged by reaching the primary outcome measure of a 25% improvement in the BASDAI. However, this level of improvement would also be expected in a placebo group. In our recent randomised controlled trial with infliximab in patients with active AS, 134.3% of the placebo patients had a 25% improvement of their initial BASDAI. From NSAID studies it is also known that about 25% of the placebo patients can be regarded as responders. 14

There was also no significant reduction of the mean BASDAI nor of other secondary outcome measures for axial symptoms.

Our results are very similar to previous experience with the treatment of AS with sulfasalazine (SSZ) in two multicentre, non-randomised studies. 15, 16

Table 2 Reasons for withdrawal from the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for withdrawal</th>
<th>Patients*</th>
<th>Week of withdrawal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inefficacy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1: systemic allergic skin reaction</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2: diarrhoea, nausea, hair loss, impotence, tachycardia</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3: skin allergy, diarrhoea</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4: increase of liver enzymes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5: nausea, dizziness, dyspnea</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 6: diarrhoea, nausea, vomiting</td>
<td>08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-compliance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Three patients had both inefficacy and side effects.
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placebo controlled studies with patients with a comparable disease activity and disease duration in which SSZ was no better than placebo.

However, in contrast with the lack of efficacy for spinal symptoms we could show that leflunomide has an effect on peripheral arthritis. Similar to treatment with SSZ the number of affected joints was significantly reduced in the 10 patients with peripheral joint manifestations. In four of the five patients who had an improvement of at least 25% of the initial BASDAI at the end of the study, an initial peripheral involvement was present, suggesting that improvement in the peripheral arthritis was mostly responsible for the improvement of the BASDAI. Three of these five patients also had psoriasis. In a multicentre, placebo controlled study with 190 patients with psoriatic arthritis treated with leflunomide or placebo a good efficacy of leflunomide versus placebo was recently shown. Whether leflunomide is mainly effective in psoriatic arthritis or any peripheral arthritis occurring in patients with AS has to be shown in future studies.

Compared with studies with leflunomide in RA,8 dropouts because of side effects, inefficacy, or non-compliance were little higher (50%) than the expected range. In the RA studies 28–47% dropped out before the end of the study. However, the pattern of side effects was very similar (table 2). None of our patients reported a new onset of arterial hypertension, which was a difference from the studies in RA. This is possibly due to the differences in our study group, which consisted of younger patients in a better state of health.

In conclusion, our results indicate that leflunomide does not seem to improve the spinal symptoms of patients with AS but it might, similar to other DMARDs which are effective in the treatment of RA, be a new option for patients with spondyloarthropathy with peripheral arthritis. This has to be confirmed in larger studies concentrating on patients with peripheral arthritis.
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